

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,621	11/30/2001	Masato Takahashi	3404/0K075	4928
7590 01/21/2004			EXAMINER	
Peter C. Schec	hter		HYLTON,	ROBIN A.
Darby & Darby	P.C.			
805 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10022			3727	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4. 2.34	Application No.	Applicant(s)	d
Advisory Action	09/998,621	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	- 1
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nc add	r ss
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10 and 12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on 11 December 2003	$\underline{3}$ is a) $⊠$ approved or b) $□$ dis	approved by the Ex	kaminer.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	$(A \cap A)$	
10. Other:		ROBIN A. HYLTON NIMARY EXAMINES	<u> </u>

Application No.

Continuation Sh et (PTOL-303) 09/998,621



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment lists claim 2 as originally filed. However, claim 2 was canceled in the amendment filed June 3, 2003. The limitations introduced into claims 1 and 9 raise new issues for consideration with respect to the dependent claims since the structure and/or function set forth have not been previously considered with respect to the dependent claims.